Community Ecology of the Metazoan Parasites of Pink Cusk-eel, *Genypterus brasiliensis* (Osteichthyes: Ophidiidae), from the Coastal Zone of the State of Rio de Janeiro, Brazil

Dimitri R Alves**, José L Luque+, Aline R Paraguassú**

Curso de Pós-graduação em Parasitologia Veterinária, Departamento de Parasitologia Animal, Universidade Federal Rural do Rio de Janeiro, Caixa Postal 74508, 23851-970 Seropédica, RJ, Brasil

Fifty-five specimens of pink cusk-eel, *Genypterus brasiliensis* Regan, 1903 (Osteichthyes: Ophidiidae) collected from the coastal zone of the State of Rio de Janeiro, Brazil (approx. 21-23°S, 41-45°W), from September 2000 to January 2001, were necropsied to study their parasites. All fish were parasitized by one or more metazoan. Fourteen species of parasites were collected. *G. brasiliensis* is a new host record for nine parasite species. The larval stages of cestodes and the nematodes were the majority of the parasite specimens collected, with 38.4% and 36.5%, respectively. *Cucullanus genypteri* was the dominant species with highest prevalence and/or abundance. The parasites of *G. brasiliensis* showed the typical overdispersed pattern of distribution. Six parasite species showed correlation between the host's total body length and prevalence and abundance. Host sex did not influence prevalence and/or abundance of any parasite species. The mean diversity in the infracommunities of *G. brasiliensis* was $H_0 = 0.364 \pm 0.103$, with correlation with the host's total length and without differences in relation to sex of the host. One pair of adult endoparasites (*C. genypteri* and *A. brasiliensis*) showed positive covariations between their abundances. Negative association or covariation was not found. Differences between the qualitative and quantitative aspects of the parasite community of *G. brasiliensis* from Rio de Janeiro and Argentina suggest the existence of two population stocks of pink cusk-eel in the South America Atlantic Ocean.
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*Genypterus brasiliensis* Regan, 1903 is a demersal gadiform fish, found on soft mud bottoms (depth range 60-200 m), with a known distribution from Rio de Janeiro, Brazil to Uruguay (Figueiredo & Menezes 1978). The pink cusk-eel is very common in the southern Brazilian coastal zone and is a commercially important species.

From Brazil, studies on the parasite fauna of *G. brasiliensis* are scarce. Pereira Jr. (2000) provided new morphologic data on the cestode *Anonchoecephalus chilensis* (Riggenbach 1896), a species redescribed anteriorly by Suriano and Labriola (1998) based upon specimens collected from *G. bilacodes* (Forster, 1801). From Argentina, some records of parasites of *G. brasiliensis* were made by Sardella et al. (1997, 1998) and Navone et al. (1998). Quantitative aspects of the parasites of *G. brasiliensis* were studied by Sardella et al. (1998) from Argentina.

Other *Genypterus* species from South America were studied for quantitative aspects of their parasites in Vergara and George-Nascimento (1982) for *G. chilensis* (Guichenot, 1848), George-Nascimento and Huet (1984) for *G. maculatus* (Tschudi, 1846), and Riffo (1994), and Sardella et al. (1998) for *G. bilacodes* from Chile and Argentina, respectively.

In this report, we analyze the metazoan parasite community of *G. brasiliensis* from the coastal zone of the State of Rio de Janeiro, at the component and infracommunity levels, and compare our results with those on parasite communities of congeneric species from South American Atlantic and Pacific Ocean.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

We examined 55 specimens of *G. brasiliensis* from September 2000 to January 2001. Local fishermen collected fish from coastal zone of the state of Rio de Janeiro (21-23°S, 41-45°W), Brazil. These fish were identified according to Figueiredo and Menezes (1978) and measured 29-70 cm (mean = 42.6 ± 8.5 cm) in total length. The average total length of male (49.1 ± 4.9 cm, n = 17) and female (49.9 ± 8.8 cm, n = 24) fishes in the study sample were not significantly different ($t = -0.246, P = 0.807$). Sex could not be determined in the other 14 fish examined.

The analysis included only parasite species with prevalence greater than 10% (Bush et al. 1990). The variance to mean ratio of parasite abundance (index of dispersion) was used to determine distribution patterns and tested by the $d$ statistical index (Ludwig & Reynolds 1988). The dominance frequency and the relative dominance (number of specimens of one species/total number of specimens of all species in the infracommunity) of each parasite species were calculated according to Rohde et al. (1995). Spearman’s rank correlation coefficient ($r_s$) was calculated to determine possible correlations between the host’s total body length and abundance of parasites. Pearson’s correlation coefficient ($r_p$) was used as an indication of the relationship between the host’s total body length and prevalence and abundance of parasites.
length and the prevalence of parasites, with previous arcsine transformation of the prevalence data (Zar 1996). The possible influence of host sex on abundance and prevalence of parasites was tested using the Z normal approximation to the Mann-Whitney test and the chi-square test, respectively. Parasite species diversity was calculated using the Brillouin index (H), because each fish analyzed corresponded to a fully censured community (Zar 1996). The probable variation of diversity in relation to host sex (Mann-Whitney test) and to host total length (Spearman’s rank correlation coefficient) was tested. For each infracommunity, the evenness (Brillouin-based evenness index) was calculated. The possible interspecific association between concurrent species was determined using the chi-square test. Possible covariation among the abundance of concurrent species was calculated using the Spearman rank correlation coefficient. Ecological terminology follows Bush et al. (1997). Statistical significance level was evaluated at P ≤ 0.05.

Qualitative and quantitative comparisons between parasites from *Genypterus* species inhabiting the Argentina (Sardella et al. 1998), Brazil (present report) and Chile (Vergara & George-Nascimento 1982, George-Nascimento & Huet 1984, Riffo 1994), were made using the Sorenson similarity coefficient (Neraasen & Holmes 1975) and the Percent similarity coefficient (Holmes & Podesta 1968), respectively.

Voucher specimens of helminths and hirudineans were deposited in the Coleção Helmintológica do Instituto Oswaldo Cruz (CHIOC), Rio de Janeiro, Brazil; copepods were deposited in the Coleção de Crustaceos do Museu Nacional (MNRJ), Quinta da Boa Vista, Rio de Janeiro, RJ, Brazil. Voucher specimens of *G. brasiliensis* were deposited in the Coleção Ictiológica do Museu Nacional (MNRJ), Quinta da Boa Vista Rio de Janeiro, RJ, Brazil (see Table I).

RESULTS

Component community - Fourteen species of metazoan parasites were collected (Table I). *G. brasiliensis* is a new host record for *Acanthocylus brasiliensis* Fernandes and Souza, 1973; *Pseudempeurosoma gibsoni* Santos, Mourão and Cárdenas, 2001; *Lacisthorynchus* sp., *Nybelinia* sp., *Scoles pleuronectis* Müller, 1788; *Corynosoma australis* Johnston, 1937; *Polymorphus* sp., *Contraecacum* sp., and *Procamallanus* sp. The majority of the parasites specimens collected were cestodes (38.4%), followed by the nematodes (36.5%). *Cucullanus genypteri* Sardella, Navone and Timi, 1997 was the predominant species, with 1,312 specimens collected (35.6% of all parasites); and showed the highest values of mean relative dominance and frequency of dominance (Table II). Host length was significantly correlated with: (a) abundance, positive for *A. brasiliensis* and *C. genypteri*; (b) prevalence, positive for *S. pleuronectis*; negative for *Lacisthorynchus* sp.; (c) both abundance and prevalence, were positive for *Contraecacum* sp. and chondracanthid not identified (Tables III, IV). Host sex did not influence parasite prevalence or mean abundance of any species. The parasite community of *G. brasiliensis* showed low similarity with the parasite communities of the other *Genypterus* species from South America (Table V).

Infracommunities - All fish were parasitized by at least one parasite species. A total of 3,677 individual parasites was collected, with mean of 66.8 parasites/fish. The values of the dispersion for the ectoparasites and endoparasites were 75.293 (d = 79.835) and 5.083 (d = 13.085), respectively. Relationships between the total parasite abundance and the host’s total body length (r = 0.604, P < 0.001) of fish were observed. The mean parasite species richness 4.1 ± 1.2 (2-7), was correlated with the host’s total body length (r = 0.281, P < 0.037). Three hosts (5.4%) were infected by 2 parasite species and 16 (29.2%), 19 (34.5%), 10 (18.2%), 3 (5.4%) and 4 (7.3%) had multiple infections with 3, 4, 5, 6 and 7 parasite species, respectively. The mean parasite species diversity (H) was 0.364 ± 0.103 and the maximum diversity was 0.589. The Brillouin-based evenness index (J) had a mean of 0.665 ± 0.118. Parasite diversity was correlated to the host’s total body length (r = 0.319; P < 0.017) and no significant differences (t = 0.846, P = 0.407) in parasite diversity were observed between male (H = 0.432 ± 0.064) and female (H = 0.401 ± 0.089) hosts.

Parasite infracommunities were separated into two groups – adult endoparasites (digeneans and nematodes) and larval stages of endoparasites (cestodes, acanthocephalans and nematodes) – to determine possible interspecific associations. Ectoparasites were not included in this analysis because only one species (an unidentified chondracanthid) showed prevalence higher than 10%. Among all the parasite species, only one species pair of adult endoparasites, *A. brasilensis*-C. genypteri, shared significant positive covariation (r = 0.618, P = 0.001).

DISCUSSION

Quantitative aspects and composition of the parasite community of *G. brasiliensis* from Rio de Janeiro can be compared with the results obtained from other *Genypterus* species from South America. The pseudophyllidean *A. chilensis* is a conspicuous parasite of *Genypterus* species. This parasite has high prevalence and abundance values in *G. maculatus*, *G. chilensis*, *G. blacodes*, and *G. brasiliensis* (from Argentina) by Vergara and George-Nascimento (1982), George-Nascimento and Huet (1984), Riffo (1994), and Sardella et al. (1998). Pereira Jr. (2000) observed *A. chilensis* parasites in *G. brasiliensis* from the southern Brazilian coastal zone. These results contrasted with results obtained from *G. brasiliensis* from Rio de Janeiro, where specimens of *A. chilensis* were not found. This suggest a possible distribution gradient of *A. chilensis*; species restricted to Austra region of South Pacific and Atlantic Ocean into Neotropical Region. The known distribution of *G. brasiliensis* is restricted to Rio de Janeiro and Gulf of San Jorge (Argentina); thus, the samples of specimens of *G. brasiliensis* studied in the present work are from the equatorial limit of this distribution.

According to data of Suriano and Labriola (1998), the “system” *Genypterus-Anonchocephalus* is restricted to the Southern Hemisphere, because the *Genypterus* species were influenced by the circumpolar Antarctic current and dispersed *Anonchocephalus* species from Pacific to Atlantic. However, our data (absence of *A. chilensis*) from
G. brasiliensis showed that the limit of this possible dispersion might be the austral limit of the known distribution of G. brasiliensis.

Recently, A. chilensis was subject of successive taxonomic studies. Gulaiev and Tkachev (1988) described specimens of A. chilensis from G. blacodes from New Zealand. Suriano and Labriola (1998) redescribed A. chilensis, emended Anonchocephalus, and proposed a second species, A. patagonicus, from Argentina. Pereira Jr. (2000) also redescribed A. chilensis and emended Anonchocephalus with specimens collected from G. brasiliensis from the State of Rio Grande do Sul, Brazil. The specimens of A. chilensis described by Suriano and Labriola (1998) differ from those described by Pereira Jr. (2000) by the characteristics of the cirrus armature, and possibly one of them representing another species of Anonchocephalus. The elucidation of these taxonomic controversies requires additional information related to biogeography and dispersion of the system Genypterus-Anonchocephalus.

The nematode Cucullanus genypteri is a common parasite of neotropical Genypterus species. Vergara and George-Nascimento (1982) studied a sample of G. chilensis from Talcahuano, Southern Chile, with results similarly to ours. Cucullanus sp. (= C. genypteri) showed high values of prevalence and abundance (72.5%, 3.6), with differences among male and female host specimens, due to differences between body size of the hosts, which were not analyzed by the authors. Later, George-Nascimento and Huet (1984) studied 80 specimens of G. maculatus from the same locality and recorded low values of prevalence and mean abundance (8%, 0.1) of Cucullanus sp. (=
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parasites</th>
<th>Prevalence (%)</th>
<th>Intensity</th>
<th>Mean intensity</th>
<th>Mean abundance</th>
<th>Site of infection</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Digenea</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acanthocolpus brasiliensis (CHIOC 34569)</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>1-121</td>
<td>17.2 ± 26</td>
<td>15.6 ± 25.2</td>
<td>Intestine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pseudemplurosoma gibsoni (CHIOC 34570)</td>
<td>9.1</td>
<td>1-4</td>
<td>1.6 ± 1.3</td>
<td>0.1 ± 0.6</td>
<td>Gills and pharynx</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Monogenea</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lactistorhynchus sp. (larval) (CHIOC 34571)</td>
<td>49.1</td>
<td>1-16</td>
<td>4.8 ± 4.2</td>
<td>2.3 ± 3.8</td>
<td>Mesenteries</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nybelinia sp. (larval) (CHIOC 34572)</td>
<td>7.3</td>
<td>1-2</td>
<td>1.2 ± 0.5</td>
<td>0.1 ± 0.3</td>
<td>Mesenteries</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scolex pleuronectis (CHIOC 34573)</td>
<td>87.3</td>
<td>1-340</td>
<td>26.6 ± 54.6</td>
<td>23.2 ± 51.7</td>
<td>Intestine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Eucestoda</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Corynosoma australe (cystacanth) (CHIOC 34574)</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>&lt; 0.1</td>
<td>Mesenteries</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Polymorphus sp. (cystacanth) (CHIOC 34575)</td>
<td>12.7</td>
<td>1-2</td>
<td>1.4 ± 0.5</td>
<td>0.2 ± 0.5</td>
<td>Mesenteries</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Nematoda</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contracaecum sp. (larval) (CHIOC 34650)</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>1-8</td>
<td>3.6 ± 3.5</td>
<td>0.3 ± 1.4</td>
<td>Mesenteries</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cucullanus genypteri (CHIOC 34654)</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>1-156</td>
<td>23.8 ± 26.7</td>
<td>23.8 ± 26.7</td>
<td>Intestine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hysterothyacium sp. (larval) (CHIOC 34652)</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>&lt; 0.1</td>
<td>Mesenteries</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Procamallanus sp. (CHIOC 34653)</td>
<td>7.3</td>
<td>1-3</td>
<td>1.7 ± 0.9</td>
<td>0.1 ± 0.5</td>
<td>Intestine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pseudoterranova sp. (larval) (CHIOC 34651)</td>
<td>5.4</td>
<td>1-3</td>
<td>1.6 ± 1.1</td>
<td>0.1 ± 0.4</td>
<td>Mesenteries</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Hirudinea</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Piscicolid not identified (CHIOC 34682)</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>&lt; 0.1</td>
<td>Gills</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Copepoda</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chondracanthid not identified (MNRJ 15.337)</td>
<td>25.4</td>
<td>1-13</td>
<td>2.7 ± 3.2</td>
<td>0.6 ± 1.9</td>
<td>Gill rakers, tong and bucal cavity</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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C. *genypteri*, and George-Nascimento and Muñoz (1997) obtained prevalence of 59.7 and abundance of 1.4 in the same host and locality. Riffo (1994) and Sardella et al. (1998) recorded high prevalence and abundance values of *C. genypteri* parasitic in *G. blacodes*, an amphi-oceanic species, from Southern Chile and Argentina, respectively. Sardella et al. (1997, 1998) recorded low values of prevalence (9.7%) and mean abundance (0.3) of *C. genypteri* in *G. brasiliensis* from Argentina. The highest prevalence (100%) and mean abundance (23.8) values were obtained for *C. genypteri* parasitic in *G. brasiliensis* from Rio de Janeiro.

George-Nascimento and Muñoz (1997) studied the specificity and host suitability of *C. genypteri* in *G. blacodes*, *G. chilensis* and *G. maculatus* from the Southeastern Pacific Ocean. They concluded that *C. genypteri* is more specific to *G. blacodes* because of the highest prevalence and abundance. This species was also the most suitable host because of a higher fecundity of the nematode. George-Nascimento and Muñoz (1997) also stated that differences in prevalence and abundance among host species can be explained by differences in the habitats, bathymetric distribution and diet of the hosts. These quantitative differences will be explained only with better knowledge of the life cycle of *C. genypteri* and additional information on the population features of the intermediate host (as yet unknown). The highly values of prevalence and abundance of *C. genypteri* obtained from *G. brasiliensis* from Rio de Janeiro showed that postulates of George-Nascimento and Muñoz (1997) should be re-examined.

Some parasites of gadiform fishes have been shown to have co-evolved with their hosts and their distribution is strongly influenced by the distribution of the host species. Paterson and Poulin (1999) stated that chondracanthid copepods showed a close co-evolutionary association by comparing host and parasite phylogenies. According to Kabata (1992) the distribution of the copepod *C. genypteri* Thomson, 1889 was determined by the migration of *G. blacodes* from Pacific of South America, along the coast of Antarctica to New Zealand, and Australia (Villalba & Fernández 1985, Etchegoin & Sardella 1989). The specific identification of the chondracanthid parasite (apparently a lernantomine species) of *G. brasiliensis* is necessary to clarify the distribution of the chondracanthid species in the Neotropical *Genypterus*, as was done for the chondracanthid species parasitic on the gadiform *Merluccius* Rafinesque, 1810 by Kabata and Ho (1981) and Etchegoin et al. (1997). Similar analysis has been applied to copepods of the genus *Sphyriion* Cuvier, 1830 by Ho (1992), but, the species *S. laevigatum* (Quoy and Gaimard, 1824) parasitic on the other Neotropical

### TABLE II

Frequency of dominance and mean relative dominance of the metazoan parasites of *Genypterus brasiliensis* from the coastal zone of the State of Rio de Janeiro, Brazil

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parasites</th>
<th>Frequency of dominance</th>
<th>Frequency of dominance shared with one or more species</th>
<th>Mean relative dominance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Acanthocolpus brasiliensis</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.205 ± 0.180</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lacistorhynchus sp.</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.300 ± 1.257</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scolex pleuronectis</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.026 ± 0.247</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Polymorphus sp.</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.004 ± 0.012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contraecacum sp.</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.005 ± 0.019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cucullanus genypteri</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.441 ± 0.221</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chondracanthid not identified</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.026 ± 0.135</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### TABLE III

Prevalence and mean abundance of metazoan parasites of *Genypterus brasiliensis*, from the coastal zone of the State of Rio de Janeiro, Brazil, in relation to total body length of hosts

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Class I (29-42 cm tl)</th>
<th>Class II (42.5 -55.5 cm tl)</th>
<th>Class III (&gt; 56 cm tl)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(n = 28)</td>
<td>(n = 24)</td>
<td>(n = 3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>P(%)</strong></td>
<td><strong>MA</strong></td>
<td><strong>P(%)</strong></td>
<td><strong>MA</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acanthocolpus brasiliensis</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>9.9 ± 22</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lacistorhynchus sp.</td>
<td>53.6</td>
<td>5.9 ± 4.6</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scolex pleuronectis</td>
<td>85.7</td>
<td>33.6 ± 72.7</td>
<td>87.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Polymorphus sp.</td>
<td>7.1</td>
<td>1.5 ± 0.7</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contraecacum sp.</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>1.67</td>
<td>16.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cucullanus genypteri</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>9.6 ± 6.4</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chondracanthid not identified</td>
<td>14.2</td>
<td>1.2 ± 0.5</td>
<td>29.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

P: prevalence; MA: mean abundance; a: differences of the parasite prevalence and abundance among the three length classes are significant, tl: total length of hosts
Genypterus is absent in the samples of *G. brasiliensis* from Rio de Janeiro. The presence of a highly co-evolved parasite system including *Genypterus* species, was also illustrated for helminths by Villalba and Fernández (1986), using the complex of species of sanguinicolid *Aporocotyle* Odhner, 1900 recorded from the Chilean species of *Genypterus*. Sanguinicolids were no recorded parasitic in *G. brasiliensis*.

Vergara and George-Nascimento (1982) and George-Nascimento & Campbell 1979, Vergara & George-Nascimento 1982, George-Nascimento & Huet 1984, Riffo 1994, Sardella et al. 1998) which suggests the possibility that *Genypterus* species play an intermediate position in marine trophic web. Histopathology of anisakids parasitic in the liver of *Genypterus* sp. from Chile was described by Torres and González (1978) who recorded atrophy, edema, and necrosis of the liver parenchyma. We did not observe this type of infection.

Navone et al. (1998) described larval and adult stages of the anisakid *Hysterothylicum aduncum* (Rudolphi, 1802) from *G. blacodes* and *G. brasiliensis*, from Argentina, with high values of parasite prevalence and abundance, contrasting with low values detected in *G. brasiliensis* from Rio de Janeiro. Also, in the pink cuskeel from Brazil, only third stage larvae of *H. aduncum* were found. According to Navone et al. (1998), differences in the prevalence and abundance of *H. aduncum* between *G. blacodes* and *G. brasiliensis* from Argentina were attributed to possible differences in the feeding habits and habitat partitioning among hosts (George-Nascimento 1987). Feeding behaviour is known for most Neotropical *Genypterus* species (Bahamonde & Zavala 1981, Renzi 1986, Chong & Aguayo 1990), but *G. brasiliensis* is an exception. Differences in feeding behavior in the sympatric *G. maculatus*, *G. chilensis* and *G. blacodes* are attributed to their different bathymetric distributions, and might influence the composition and structure of parasite communities.

The sample of *G. brasiliensis* studied by Sardella et al. (1998) from Argentina, was collected from the austral limit of the known distribution of *G. brasiliensis* whereas...
the sample we studied was collected from Rio de Janeiro at the equatorial limit. Different values of parasite indices in endoparasites of *G. brasilensis* from Argentina and Brazil, might indicate differences in the alimentary spectrum. Another difference in the composition of the parasite community of Neotropical *Genypterus*, is the presence of monogeneans in *G. brasilensis* which is the only species of the genus with records for monogeneans. Also, the presence of the trematode *Acanthoculus brasilensis* in *G. brasilensis* from Rio de Janeiro, contrasted with the presence of *Lectichochirium genypteri* Lühe, 1901, a trematode recorded in the other species of *Genypterus*. The values of the similarity indices between the two samples of *G. brasilensis* (Brazil-Argentina) are low. Major differences in the prevalence and abundance of the shared parasite species also were recorded. This suggests the possibility of the existence of two distinct host populations, one at the austral limit of known distribution, with high parasite similarity with *G. brasilensis* from Rio de Janeiro recorded in the other species of *Genypterus*. The values of the similarity indices between the two samples of *G. brasilensis* (Brazil-Argentina) are low. Major differences in the prevalence and abundance of the shared parasite species also were recorded. This suggests the possibility of the existence of two distinct host populations, one at the austral limit of known distribution, with high parasite similarity with *G. brasilensis* from Rio de Janeiro, contrasted with the presence of *Lectichochirium genypteri* Lühe, 1901, a trematode recorded in the other species of *Genypterus*. The values of the similarity indices between the two samples of *G. brasilensis* from Rio de Janeiro, Brazil. Mem Inst Oswaldo Cruz 96: 145-153.
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